# Online Appendix to: Affluence and Congruence: Unequal Representation Around the World

Noam Lupu Vanderbilt University noam.lupu@vanderbilt.edu

Zach Warner Cardiff University WarnerZ@cardiff.ac.uk

## Contents

A.1	Robustness of the affluence effect to difference of means	2
A.2	Supporting information for results in the main text	3
A.3	Robustness checks and alternative analyses	9
A.4	Data sources	11
A.5	Elite survey data sources	12
A.6	Mass survey data sources	59
A.7	Survey data access information	<b>)</b> 2

# A.1 Robustness of the affluence effect to difference of means

Across our 565 country-years, the average absolute difference in means between the least affluent and legislators is 0.17. The average absolute difference in means between the most affluent and legislators is 0.15. The difference in these means is approximately 0.02, which is statistically distinguishable from zero at p < 0.05. As with the main results, this implies that the preferences of the most affluent are approximately 15% closer to legislators' than are those of the least affluent.

# A.2 Supporting information for results in the main text

		Model	
Affluence quintile	IWLS	Bootstrapping	EMD
$0^{th} - 20^{th}$	$0.03^{*}$	$0.02^{*}$	0.03*
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$20^{\mathrm{th}} - 40^{\mathrm{th}}$	$0.02^{*}$	0.01	$0.01^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$40^{\rm th} - 60^{\rm th}$	$0.01^{*}$	0.00	0.00
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$60^{\rm th} - 80^{\rm th}$	$0.00^{*}$	-0.00	-0.00
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Unit of analysis	Mass-elite dyad	Mass-elite dyad	Country-year
Observations	99m	$250 \times 50,000$	1,413
Mass and elite RE?	No	Yes	No
Country and year FE?	No	No	Yes
Question scale FE?	Yes	Yes	Yes

Table A1: Mass-elite congruence by affluence (Figure 1, main text)

p < .05. "99m" indicates 99 million. The baseline category is the most affluent quintile. Standard deviations given for bootstrapped estimates.

		Model	
Affluence quintile	IWLS	Bootstrapping	EMD
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$	$0.05^{*}$	0.03*	0.06*
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$20^{\mathrm{th}} - 40^{\mathrm{th}}$	0.03*	0.01*	$0.02^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$40^{\mathrm{th}}-60^{\mathrm{th}}$	0.02*	0.01	0.01
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$60^{\rm th} - 80^{\rm th}$	$0.00^{*}$	-0.00	-0.01
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Unit of analysis	Mass-elite dyad	Mass-elite dyad	Country-year
Observations	27m	$250 \times 10,000$	347
Mass and elite RE?	No	Yes	No
Country and year FE?	No	No	Yes
Question scale FE?	Yes	Yes	Yes

**Table A2:** Mass-elite congruence by affluence: 25% of country-years where preferences of the most and least affluent are least similar

p < .05. "27m" indicates 27 million. The baseline category is the most affluent quintile. Standard deviations given for bootstrapped estimates.

		Model	
Affluence quintile	IWLS	Bootstrapping	EMD
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.04^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$20^{\rm th} - 40^{\rm th}$	$0.01^{*}$	0.00	0.01
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$40^{\rm th} - 60^{\rm th}$	$0.00^{*}$	-0.00	-0.00
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$60^{\rm th} - 80^{\rm th}$	$-0.01^{*}$	-0.01	-0.01
	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Unit of analysis	Mass-elite dyad	Mass-elite dyad	Country-year
Observations	18m	$250 \times 10,000$	355
Mass and elite RE?	No	Yes	No
Country and year FE?	No	No	Yes
Question scale FE?	Yes	Yes	Yes

**Table A3:** Mass-elite congruence by affluence: 25% of country-years where preferences ofmiddle class and most affluent are least similar

 $^*p < .05$ . "18m" indicates 18 million. The baseline category is the most affluent quintile. Standard deviations given for bootstrapped estimates.

Affluence quintile	Left-right	Economy	Marriage
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.01^{*}$	$-0.06^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$20^{\rm th} - 40^{\rm th}$	$0.01^{*}$	$0.01^{*}$	$-0.04^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$40^{\rm th} - 60^{\rm th}$	0.01	0.00	$-0.04^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$60^{\text{th}} - 80^{\text{th}}$	-0.00	-0.00	$-0.02^{*}$
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)
Observations	3.11	3.38	3.35
Mass and elite RE?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Question scale FE?	No	No	No

Table A4: Mass-elite congruence by affluence and issue in Latin America (Figure 3, main text)

p < .05. Observations are in millions. The baseline category is the most affluent quintile. Note question scale FE are excluded because the question scales were harmonized across mass and elite surveys.

Note that economic preferences are an index constructed by factoring four questions on the role of the state in the economy (with both citizens and legislators included). These questions asked respondents the extent to which they agreed with the following statements:

- The (country) government, more than the private sector, should own the most important enterprises and industries of the country.
- The (country) government, more than the private sector, should be primarily responsible for providing health services.
- The (country) government, more than the private sector, should be primarily responsible for creating jobs.
- The (country) government, more than the private sector, should be primarily responsible for ensuring the wellbeing of the people.

All responses were on 1-7 scales, from disagree completely to agree completely. Each country-year was factored separately.

Occupation	Privatization	Public sector	Inequality	NATO	Refugees	Pornography
Worker	$0.16^{*}$	$0.25^{*}$	0.12*	$0.15^{*}$	$-0.22^{*}$	$-0.06^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.01)
Other	$0.03^{*}$	$0.07^{*}$	$0.04^{*}$	$0.05^{*}$	0.02	$-0.05^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.01)
Observations	4.26	4.17	3.36	0.49	1.21	4.46
Mass and elite RE?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Question scale FE?	No	No	No	No	No	No

Table A5: Mass-elite congruence by affluence and issue in Sweden (Figure 4, main text)

 $p^* < .05$ . Observations are in millions. The baseline category is white-collar professionals. Note question scale FE are excluded because the question scales were harmonized across mass and elite surveys. The number of observations varies because some questions were not available across all waves.

Affluence quintile	Poverty	Agriculture	Social rights	Violence
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$	$0.04^{*}$	$0.05^{*}$	$-0.02^{*}$	$-0.08^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$20^{\text{th}} - 40^{\text{th}}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.06^{*}$	$-0.02^{*}$	$-0.06^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$40^{\rm th} - 60^{\rm th}$	0.01	$0.07^{*}$	$-0.02^{*}$	$-0.07^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)
$60^{\text{th}} - 80^{\text{th}}$	0.00	$0.03^{*}$	$-0.01^{*}$	$-0.03^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)
Observations	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13
Mass and elite RE?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Question scale FE?	No	No	No	No

Table A6: Mass-elite congruence by affluence and issue in Africa (Figure 5, main text)

p < .05. Observations are in millions. The baseline category is the most affluent quintile. Note question scale FE are excluded because the questions are all binary across mass and elite surveys.

## A.3 Robustness checks and alternative analyses

Affluence quintile	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$	0.03*	0.03*	0.06*	0.03*	0.04*	0.04*	0.03*
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$20^{\rm th} - 40^{\rm th}$	$0.01^{*}$	$0.01^{*}$	$0.05^{*}$	0.02	$0.02^{*}$	0.02	$0.01^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$40^{\rm th} - 60^{\rm th}$	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$60^{\text{th}} - 80^{\text{th}}$	0.00	-0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.00	0.00
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Country and year FE?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Question scale FE?	Yes	Yes	Mass	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

**Table A7:** Mass-elite congruence by affluence: alternatives to post-stratifying

p < .05. See notes below on how models differ.

The models in the foregoing table are identical to the main result using the EMD (column three, table A1), except that here we construct the EMD using:

- 1. stratified elite samples, dropping observations with no partisanship or gender data;
- 2. unweighted elite samples (i.e., without stratifying);
- 3. unweighted elite samples with a response rate of 80% or greater;
- 4. unweighted elite samples with a response rate of 70% or greater;
- 5. unweighted elite samples with a response rate of 60% or greater;
- 6. unweighted elite samples with a response rate of 50% or greater; or
- 7. unweighted elite samples with a response rate of less than 80%.

Note that model (3), with 80% or higher response rates, does not include legislator question scale fixed effects since all surveys in this subset used a 1–10 scale.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
$0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$ quintile	$0.03^{*}$	$0.03^{*}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.03^{*}$	$0.03^{*}$	$0.04^{*}$	$0.05^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$20^{\text{th}} - 40^{\text{th}}$ quintile	$0.01^{*}$	0.01	0.01	0.01	$0.01^{*}$	$0.02^{*}$	$0.03^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$40^{\text{th}} - 60^{\text{th}}$ quintile	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	$0.02^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
$60^{\text{th}} - 80^{\text{th}}$ quintile	-0.00	-0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.00
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Scales different	$-0.07^{*}$	$-0.04^{*}$	$-0.11^{*}$	$-0.07^{*}$	$-0.10^{*}$	$-0.12^{*}$	$-0.08^{*}$
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Scales $\times 0^{\text{th}} - 20^{\text{th}}$				0.02			
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				(0.01)			
Scales $\times 20^{\text{th}} - 40^{\text{th}}$				0.01			
<b>G</b> 1 toth anth				(0.01)			
Scales $\times 40^{\text{th}} - 60^{\text{th}}$				0.00			
<b>G</b> 1 soth ooth				(0.01)			
Scales $\times 60^{\text{th}} - 80^{\text{th}}$				-0.00			
				(0.01)			
Country and year FE?	Yes						
Question scale FE?	Yes						

Table A8: Mass-elite congruence by affluence: Other alternative coding rules

p < .05. See notes below on how models differ.

The models in the foregoing table are identical to the main result using the EMD (column three, table A1), except that here we:

- 1. construct the EMD without dropping any elite surveys due to multiple sampling concerns;
- 2. construct the EMD using all elite data, including MEPs;
- 3. construct the EMD only using country-years in which we are able to construct a factored index of material wealth for mass respondents (i.e., not using occupation or self-reported income);
- 4. interact the affluence quintile indicators with the indicator for whether question scales differ across mass and legislator surveys within a country-year;
- 5. construct the EMD only for country-years with more than 15 legislator respondents;
- 6. construct the EMD only for country-years with more than 50 legislator respondents; or
- 7. construct the EMD only for country-years with more than 100 legislator respondents.

## A.4 Data sources

The remainder of this appendix provides information about the survey data used to compute congruence. Table A9 provides information on all sources used for each country-year. Table A11 provides specific information about the variables used and major coding decisions made for each mass survey, while Table A10 provides equivalent information for each elite survey. Finally, Table A12 provides information about accessing each data source. Note that throughout, we use variable names as they appear in the original data.

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Argentina	1995	PELA study 06	World Values Survey
Argentina	1999	PELA study 05	World Values Survey
Argentina	2006	PELA study 51	World Values Survey
Argentina	2008	PELA studies 67 and 73	LAPOP
Argentina	2010	PELA studies 67 and 73	LAPOP
Argentina	2012	PELA study 73	LAPOP
Argentina	2013	Joignant et al. (2017) and PELA study 73	World Values Survey
Argentina	2014	Joignant et al. (2017)	LAPOP and Joignant et al. 2017
Australia	2007	Comparative Candidates Survey	CSES wave 3
Austria	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Austria	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Austria	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Austria	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Austria	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Austria	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Austria	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Austria	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Austria	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Austria	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Austria	2008	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1

 Table A9: Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Austria	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Austria	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Austria	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Austria	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Austria	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 79.5
Austria	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Austria	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Belgium	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Belgium	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 1 and Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Belgium	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Belgium	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Belgium	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Belgium	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Belgium	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Belgium	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Belgium	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Belgium	2007	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Belgium	2008	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Belgium	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Belgium	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Belgium	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Belgium	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Belgium	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Belgium	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Belgium	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Bolivia	2004	PELA study 47	LAPOP
Bolivia	2006	PELA study 62	LAPOP
Bolivia	2008	PELA study 62	LAPOP
Bolivia	2010	PELA study 81	LAPOP
Bolivia	2012	PELA study 81	LAPOP
Bolivia	2014	PELA study 81	LAPOP
Brazil	1991	Brazilian Legislator Survey	World Values Survey
Brazil	2002	Brazilian Legislator Survey	CSES wave 2
Brazil	2006	<i>Brazilian Legislator Survey</i> and PELA studies 55 and 75	CSES wave 3 and World Values Survey

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Brazil	2007	Brazilian Legislator Survey and PELA studies 55 and 75	LAPOP
Brazil	2008	<i>Brazilian Legislator Survey</i> and PELA study 75	LAPOP
Brazil	2010	<i>Brazilian Legislator Survey</i> and PELA study 75	CSES wave 3 and LAPOP
Brazil	2012	Brazilian Legislator Survey	LAPOP
Brazil	2014	Brazilian Legislator Survey	LAPOP and World Values Survey
Bulgaria	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Bulgaria	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Bulgaria	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Bulgaria	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Bulgaria	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Bulgaria	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Bulgaria	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Chile	1996	PELA study 04	World Values Survey
Chile	1999	PELA study 03	CSES wave 1
Chile	2000	PELA study 03	World Values Survey
Chile	2005	PELA study 42	CSES wave 2
Chile	2006	PELA studies 42 and 60	LAPOP and World Values Survey
Chile	2008	PELA study 60	LAPOP
Chile	2009	PELA study 60	CSES wave 3
Chile	2010	PELA studies 60 and 77	LAPOP
Chile	2011	PELA study 77	World Values Survey
Chile	2012	PELA study 77	LAPOP
Chile	2014	PELA study 77	LAPOP

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Colombia	1998	PELA study 13	World Values Survey
Colombia	2004	PELA study 46	LAPOP
Colombia	2005	PELA study 46	World Values Survey
Colombia	2006	PELA studies 46 and 59	LAPOP
Colombia	2008	PELA study 59	LAPOP
Colombia	2010	PELA studies 59 and 83	LAPOP
Colombia	2012	PELA study 83	LAPOP and World Values Survey
Colombia	2014	PELA studies 83 and 95	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2004	PELA study 43	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2006	PELA studies 43 and 56	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2008	PELA study 56	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2010	PELA studies 56 and 78	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2012	PELA study 78	LAPOP
Costa Rica	2014	PELA studies 78 and 93	LAPOP
Croatia	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Croatia	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Cyprus	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Cyprus	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2,
		-	64.3, and 64.4
Cyprus	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1,
			66.2, and 66.3 and World Values Survey
Cyprus	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Cyprus	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Cyprus	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Cyprus	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Cyprus	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1 and World Values Survey
Cyprus	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Cyprus	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Cyprus	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Cyprus	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Czech Republic	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Czech Republic	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Czech Republic	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Czech Republic	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Czech Republic	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Czech Republic	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Czech Republic	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Czech Republic	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Czech Republic	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Czech Republic	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Czech Republic	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Czech Republic	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Denmark	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Denmark	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Denmark	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Denmark	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Denmark	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Denmark	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Denmark	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Denmark	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Denmark	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Denmark	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Denmark	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 68.2, 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Denmark	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Denmark	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Denmark	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Denmark	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Denmark	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Denmark	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Denmark	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Dominican Republic	1996	PELA study 30	World Values Survey
Dominican Republic	2006	PELA studies 44 and 64	LAPOP
Dominican Republic	2008	PELA study 64	LAPOP
Dominican Republic	2010	PELA studies 64 and 82	LAPOP
Dominican Republic	2012	PELA study 82	LAPOP
Dominican Republic	2014	PELA study 82	LAPOP
Ecuador	2004	PELA study 45	LAPOP

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Ecuador	2006	PELA study 45	LAPOP
Ecuador	2008	PELA study 65	LAPOP
Ecuador	2010	PELA study 72	LAPOP
Ecuador	2012	PELA study 72	LAPOP
Ecuador	2013	PELA study 90	World Values Survey
Ecuador	2014	PELA study 90	LAPOP
El Salvador	1999	PELA study 07	World Values Survey
El Salvador	2004	PELA study 48	LAPOP
El Salvador	2006	PELA studies 48 and 58	LAPOP
El Salvador	2008	PELA study 58	LAPOP
El Salvador	2010	PELA study 70	LAPOP
El Salvador	2012	PELA study 88	LAPOP
El Salvador	2014	PELA study 88	LAPOP
Estonia	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Estonia	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Estonia	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Estonia	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Estonia	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Estonia	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Estonia	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Estonia	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3, Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1, and World Values Survey
Estonia	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Estonia	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Estonia	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Estonia	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Finland	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1 and World Values Survey
Finland	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Finland	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Finland	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Finland	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Finland	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Finland	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Finland	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4 and World Values Survey
Finland	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Finland	2007	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Finland	2008	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Finland	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Finland	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Finland	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Finland	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Finland	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Finland	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Finland	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
France	1967	FNEPS	FNEPS
France	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
France	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
France	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
France	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
France	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
France	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
France	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
France	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
France	2006	CIRCaP 2006 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3 and World Values Survey

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
France	2007	CIRCaP 2007, EPRG MEP Survey, and	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3,
		PARTIREP	68.1, and 68.2
France	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
France	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
France	2010	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
France	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
France	2012	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
France	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
France	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
France	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Germany	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Germany	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Germany	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Germany	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and
			56.2
Germany	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1,
			57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Germany	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and
			60.3
Germany	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Germany	2005	Comparative Candidates Survey and	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 63.1, 64.4, 63.2,
		EPRG MEP Survey	63.4, 64.1, 64.2, and 64.3
Germany	2006	CIRCaP 2006, Comparative Candidates	Eurobarometer 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and
		Survey, and EPRG MEP Survey	66.3
Germany	2007	CIRCaP 2007, Comparative Candidates	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
		Survey, and EPRG MEP Survey	

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Germany	2008	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Germany	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3
Germany	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 75.1 and 75.1EP
Germany	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Germany	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Germany	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 79.5
Germany	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Germany	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.4, and 84.2
Greece	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Greece	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Greece	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Greece	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Greece	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Greece	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Greece	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Greece	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Greece	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Greece	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Greece	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Greece	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Greece	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Greece	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Greece	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Greece	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Greece	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Greece	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey and	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
		EPRG MEP Survey	84.3, and 84.4
Guatemala	2004	PELA studies 38 and 52	LAPOP and World Values Survey
Guatemala	2006	PELA study 52	LAPOP
Guatemala	2008	PELA studies 52 and 68	LAPOP
Guatemala	2010	PELA study 68	LAPOP
Guatemala	2012	PELA studies 68 and 85	LAPOP
Guatemala	2014	PELA study 85	LAPOP
Honduras	2004	PELA study 40	LAPOP
Honduras	2006	PELA studies 40 and 57	LAPOP
Honduras	2008	PELA study 57	LAPOP
Honduras	2010	PELA studies 57 and 74	LAPOP
Honduras	2012	PELA study 74	LAPOP
Honduras	2014	PELA studies 74 and 92	LAPOP
Hungary	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Hungary	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2,
Hungary	2006	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	64.3, and 64.4 Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1,
			66.2, and 66.3
Hungary	2007	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Hungary	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Hungary	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4 and World Values Survey
Hungary	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, <i>Hungarian Election Study</i> , and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Hungary	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>Hungarian Election</i> <i>Study</i>	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Hungary	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>Hungarian Election</i> <i>Study</i>	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Hungary	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>Hungarian Election</i> <i>Study</i>	Eurobarometer 79.5
Hungary	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>Hungarian Election</i> <i>Study</i>	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Hungary	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Iceland	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey	CSES wave 3
Iceland	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, and 74.2
Iceland	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey	Eurobarometer 75.3

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Iceland	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey	CSES wave 4
Ireland	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Ireland	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Ireland	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Ireland	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Ireland	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Ireland	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Ireland	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Ireland	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Ireland	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Ireland	2007	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Ireland	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Ireland	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Ireland	2010	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Ireland	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Ireland	2012	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Ireland	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Ireland	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Ireland	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Italy	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Italy	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Italy	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Italy	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Italy	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Italy	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Italy	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Italy	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4 and World Values Survey
Italy	2006	CIRCaP 2006 and EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Italy	2007	CIRCaP 2007 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Italy	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Italy	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Italy	2010	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Italy	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Italy	2012	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Italy	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 79.5
Italy	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Italy	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Japan	2003	ATES CAN 2003	JGSS

Table A9 (con	tinued): Data	a sources by	country-year
---------------	---------------	--------------	--------------

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Japan	2005	ATES CAN 2003, ATES HOC 2004, and ATES CAN 2005	JGSS and World Values Survey
Japan	2006	ATES HOC 2004 and ATES CAN 2005	JGSS
Japan	2007	ATES HOC 2004 and ATES CAN 2005	CSES wave 3
Japan	2008	ATES CAN 2005	JGSS
Japan	2013	ATES HOR 2012 and ATES HOC 2013 (NB: candidate surveys from different chambers)	CSES wave 4
Latvia	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Latvia	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Latvia	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Latvia	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Latvia	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Latvia	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Latvia	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Latvia	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Latvia	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Latvia	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Latvia	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Latvia	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Lithuania	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Lithuania	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Lithuania	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1,
		-	66.2, and 66.3
Lithuania	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Lithuania	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Lithuania	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Lithuania	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Lithuania	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Lithuania	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Lithuania	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Lithuania	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Lithuania	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Luxembourg	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Luxembourg	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Luxembourg	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Luxembourg	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Luxembourg	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Luxembourg	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Luxembourg	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Luxembourg	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Luxembourg	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Luxembourg	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2

Table A9	(continued): Data	sources by	country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Luxembourg	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Luxembourg	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Malta	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Malta	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2,
			64.3, and 64.4
Malta	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1,
			66.2, and 66.3
Malta	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Malta	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Malta	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Malta	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and
			75.1EP
Malta	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Malta	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Malta	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Malta	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Malta	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Mexico	1995	PELA study 02	World Values Survey
Mexico	1996	PELA study 02	World Values Survey
Mexico	1997	PELA studies 01 and 02	CSES wave 1
Mexico	2000	PELA studies 01 and 37	CSES wave 1 and World Values Survey
Mexico	2003	PELA studies 37 and 50	CSES wave 2
Mexico	2004	PELA study 50	LAPOP
Mexico	2005	PELA study 50	World Values Survey
Mexico	2006	PELA studies 50 and 63	CSES wave 3 and LAPOP
Mexico	2008	PELA study 63	LAPOP
Mexico	2009	PELA studies 63 and 79	CSES wave 3

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Mexico	2010	PELA study 79	LAPOP
Netherlands	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Netherlands	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Netherlands	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Netherlands	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Netherlands	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Netherlands	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Netherlands	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Netherlands	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Netherlands	2006	Comparative Candidates Survey,	CSES wave 3, Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3,
		<i>CIRCaP 2006</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3, and World Values Survey
Netherlands	2007	Comparative Candidates Survey, <i>CIRCaP 2007</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Netherlands	2008	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Netherlands	2009	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Netherlands	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Netherlands	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Netherlands	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4 and World Values Survey

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Netherlands	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Netherlands	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Netherlands	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
			84.3, and 84.4
Nicaragua	2004	PELA study 39	LAPOP
Nicaragua	2006	PELA study 39	LAPOP
Nicaragua	2008	PELA study 66	LAPOP
Nicaragua	2010	PELA study 66	LAPOP
Nicaragua	2012	PELA study 86	LAPOP
Nicaragua	2014	PELA study 86	LAPOP
Norway	2005	PARTIREP	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 63.1
Norway	2007	PARTIREP	World Values Survey
Norway	2009	PARTIREP	CSES wave 3
Panama	2004	PELA studies 41 and 53	LAPOP
Panama	2006	PELA study 53	LAPOP
Panama	2008	PELA study 53	LAPOP
Panama	2010	PELA study 71	LAPOP
Panama	2012	PELA study 71	LAPOP
Panama	2014	PELA study 94	LAPOP
Paraguay	2008	PELA studies 49 and 69	LAPOP
Paraguay	2010	PELA study 69	LAPOP
Paraguay	2012	PELA study 69	LAPOP
Paraguay	2014	PELA study 91	LAPOP
Peru	1996	PELA study 32	World Values Survey
Peru	2000	PELA study 32	CSES wave 1
Peru	2001	PELA study 31	CSES wave 1 and World Values Survey
Peru	2006	PELA studies 31, 61, and 80	CSES wave 2, LAPOP, and World Values Survey
Peru	2008	PELA studies 61 and 80	LAPOP

Table A9	(continued): Data	sources by	country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Peru	2010	PELA studies 61 and 80	LAPOP
Peru	2011	PELA studies 61, 80, and 84	CSES wave 3
Peru	2012	PELA study 84	LAPOP and World Values Survey
Peru	2014	PELA study 84	LAPOP
Poland	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Poland	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3, Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4,
			63.5, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4, and World Values
			Survey
Poland	2006	CIRCaP 2006 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1,
			66.2, and 66.3
Poland	2007	CIRCaP 2007, EPRG MEP Survey, and	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3,
		PARTIREP	68.1, and 68.2
Poland	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Poland	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Poland	2010	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Poland	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 4 and Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and
		-	76.1
Poland	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4 and World Values
		·	Survey
Poland	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Poland	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Poland	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
		č	84.3, and 84.4
Portugal	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Portugal	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Portugal	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Portugal	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Portugal	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 1 and wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Portugal	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Portugal	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Portugal	2005	EPRG MEP Survey and PARENEL 2008	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Portugal	2006	<i>CIRCaP 2006</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and PARENEL 2008	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Portugal	2007	<i>CIRCaP 2007</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and PARENEL 2008	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Portugal	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARENEL 2008	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Portugal	2009	EPRG MEP Survey, PARENEL 2008 and 2012, and PARTIREP	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Portugal	2010	EPRG MEP Survey , <i>PARENEL 2012</i> , and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Portugal	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, <i>PARENEL 2012</i> , and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Portugal	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, <i>PARENEL 2012</i> , and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Portugal	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, <i>PARENEL 2012</i> , and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 79.5

Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year	Table A9	(continued):	Data sources	by country-year
--	----------	--------------	--------------	-----------------

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Portugal	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARENEL 2012</i>	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Portugal	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARENEL 2012</i>	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Romania	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Romania	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Romania	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
Romania	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4 and World Values Survey
Romania	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Romania	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Romania	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Slovakia	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Slovakia	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Slovakia	2006	CIRCaP 2006 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Slovakia	2007	CIRCaP 2007 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Slovakia	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Slovakia	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Slovakia	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Slovakia	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Slovakia	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Slovakia	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Slovakia	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Slovakia	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Slovenia	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Slovenia	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.3, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4 and World Values Survey
Slovenia	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
Slovenia	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Slovenia	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Slovenia	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Slovenia	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
Slovenia	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1 and World Values Survey
Slovenia	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Slovenia	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Slovenia	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Slovenia	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Spain	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	CSES wave 1 and Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
Spain	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey			
Spain 2000		EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 1, Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1, and World Values Survey			
Spain	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2			
Spain	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2			
Spain	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3			
Spain	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2			
Spain	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4			
Spain	2006	CIRCaP 2006 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3			
Spain	2007	CIRCaP 2007 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2 and World Values Survey			
Spain	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 3 and Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1			
Spain	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4			
Spain	2010	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP			
Spain	2011	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1 and World Values Survey			
Spain	2012	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4			
Spain	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5			
Spain	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4			
Spain	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4			

Table A9	(continued)	: Data	sources	by	country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Sweden	1985	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1988	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1991	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1994	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1995	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1996	Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1 and World Values Survey
Sweden	1997	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1998	SNES	SNES
Sweden	1999	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
Sweden	2000	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
Sweden	2001	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
Sweden	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 2 and Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
Sweden	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
Sweden	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
Sweden	2005	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4
Sweden	2006	EPRG MEP Survey	CSES wave 3, Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3, and World Values Survey
Sweden	2007	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
Sweden	2008	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
Sweden	2009	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
Sweden	2010	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
Sweden	2011	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1 and World Values Survey
Sweden	2012	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
Sweden	2013	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 79.5
Sweden	2014	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
Sweden	2015	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2, 84.3, and 84.4
Switzerland	1975	Swiss CLRC	Swiss CLRC
Switzerland	1975	Swiss CLRC	Swiss CLRC
Switzerland	2007	Comparative Candidates Survey and <i>PARTIREP</i>	CSES wave 3 and World Values Survey
Switzerland	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 73.1
Switzerland	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey	CSES wave 4
Ukraine	1998	Wilson and Birch (1999)	Wilson and Birch (1999) and CSES wave 1
United Kingdom	1987	BCS 1992	Eurobarometer 27.0 and 28.0
United Kingdom	1988	BCS 1992	Eurobarometer 29.0 and 30.0
United Kingdom	1989	BCS 1992	Eurobarometer 31.0, 31.A, 32.A, and 32.B
United Kingdom	1990	BCS 1992	Eurobarometer 33.0, 34.0, and 34.1
United Kingdom	1991	BCS 1992	Eurobarometer 35.0, 35.1, and 36.0
United Kingdom	1992	BCS 1992 and BRS 1997	Eurobarometer 37.0, 37.1, 38.0, and 38.1
United Kingdom	1993	BRS 1997	Eurobarometer 39.0, 39.1, and 40.0
United Kingdom	1994	BRS 1997	Eurobarometer 41.0, 41.1, and 42.0
United Kingdom	1995	BRS 1997	Eurobarometer 43.0, 43.1, 43.1B, 44.0, and 44.1
United Kingdom	1996	BRS 1997 and Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Eurobarometer 45.1, 46.0, and 46.1
United Kingdom	1997	BRS 1997 and BRS 2001	CSES wave 1 and Eurobarometer 47.0, 47.1, 47.2, and 48.0

Table A9 (continued): Data sources	by	country-year
------------------------------------	----	--------------

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
United Kingdom	1998	BRS 2001	Eurobarometer 49.0, 50.0, and 50.1 and World Values Survey
United Kingdom	1999	BRS 2001 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 51.0, 51.1, 52.0, and 52.1
United Kingdom	2000	BRS 2001 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 53.0, 54.0, and 54.1
United Kingdom	2001	BRS 2001 and EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 54.2, 55.0, 55.1, 55.2, 56.0, 56.1, and 56.2
United Kingdom	2002	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 56.3, 57.0, 57.1, 57.2, 58.0, 58.1, 58.2
United Kingdom	2003	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 59.0, 59.1, 59.2, 60.0, 60.1, 60.2, and 60.3
United Kingdom	2004	EPRG MEP Survey	Eurobarometer 61.0, 62.0, 62.1, and 62.2
United Kingdom	2005	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	CSES wave 2, Eurobarometer 63.1, 63.2, 63.4, 64.1, 64.2, 64.3, and 64.4, and World Values Survey
United Kingdom	2006	<i>CIRCaP 2006</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 64.4, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 66.1, 66.2, and 66.3
United Kingdom	2007	<i>CIRCaP 2007</i> , EPRG MEP Survey, and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 68.1, and 68.2
United Kingdom	2008	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 69.1, 69.2, and 70.1
United Kingdom	2009	EPRG MEP Survey and PARTIREP	Eurobarometer 71.1, 71.2, 71.3, and 72.4
United Kingdom	2010	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 73.1, 73.4, 74.1, 74.2, 74.3, 75.1, and 75.1EP
United Kingdom	2011	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 75.2, 75.3, and 76.1
United Kingdom	2012	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 77.2 and 77.4
United Kingdom	2013	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG MEP Survey, and <i>PARTIREP</i>	Eurobarometer 79.5

Table A9	(continued): Data	sources by	country-year

Country	Year	Elite survey	Mass survey
United Kingdom	2014	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG	Eurobarometer 81.2, 81.4, 82.1, 82.2, 82.3, and 82.4
		MEP Survey, and PARTIREP	
United Kingdom	2015	Comparative Candidates Survey, EPRG	Eurobarometer 83.1, 83.2, 83.3, 83.4, 84.1, 84.2,
		MEP Survey, and PARTIREP	84.3, and 84.4
Uruguay	1996	PELA study 34	World Values Survey
Uruguay	2006	PELA study 54	World Values Survey
Uruguay	2007	PELA study 54	LAPOP
Uruguay	2008	PELA study 54	LAPOP
Uruguay	2009	PELA study 54	CSES wave 3
Uruguay	2010	PELA studies 54 and 76	LAPOP
Uruguay	2011	PELA study 76	World Values Survey
Uruguay	2012	PELA study 76	LAPOP
Uruguay	2014	PELA study 76	LAPOP
Venezuela	1996	PELA study 36	World Values Survey
Venezuela	2000	PELA study 35	World Values Survey

 Table A9 (continued): Data sources by country-year

## A.5 Elite survey data sources

Below is a list of elite surveys that our data are drawn from. Our criteria for inclusion are:

- 1. Respondents are elected legislators, or (in the case of candidate surveys) the survey contains a question that allows us to establish whether the respondent was elected.
- 2. The population from which the sample was drawn is not restricted to a specific issue-area, party, or other subset of national legislators. For instance, surveys of US foreign policy elites or backbench MPs are excluded.
- 3. Respondents represent the country, at a national or supra-national level, and not a state, region, or other sub-national unit.
- 4. The survey contains a question which asks respondents to place themselves on a left-right scale.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
ATES CAN 2003	ideology	0-10	This is a candidate survey, so we used won to restrict the sample to candidates who won election (dropping those coded as 4). We hand-coded the legislative session of all respondents as 2003-2005 and matched them to mass samples in that window. The anchors for this question are not available directly, but have been described as "left" and "right" in the secondary literature (e.g., Catalinac 2018). No partisanship or gender data were available.
ATES HOC 2004	ideology	0-10	This is a candidate survey, so we used won to restrict the sample to candidates who won election (keeping only those coded as 1). We hand-coded the legislative session of all respondents as 2004-2007 and matched them to mass samples in that window. The anchors for this question are not available directly, but have been described as "left" and "right" in the secondary literature (e.g., Catalinac 2018). No partisanship data were available. For gender we used sex.
ATES CAN 2005	ideology	0-10	This is a candidate survey, so we used won to restrict the sample to candidates who won election (dropping those coded as 4). We hand-coded the legislative session of all respondents as 2005-2009 and matched them to mass samples in that window. The anchors for this question are not available directly, but have been described as "left" and "right" in the secondary literature (e.g., Catalinac 2018). No partisanship data were available. For gender we used sex.

#### Table A10: Elite survey data

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
ATES HOR 2012	q7	0-10	This is a candidate survey, so we used result to restrict the sample to candidates who won election (dropping those coded as 0). We hand-coded the legislative session of all respondents as 2012-2014 and matched them to mass samples in that window. The anchors for this question are not available directly, but have been described as "left" and "right" in the secondary literature (e.g., Catalinac 2018). For partisanship we used party and for gender we used sex.
ATES HOC 2013	q12	0-10	This is a candidate survey, so we used result to restrict the sample to candidates who won election (dropping those coded as 0). We hand-coded the legislative session of all respondents as 2013-2016 and matched them to mass samples in that window. The anchors for this question are not available directly, but have been described as "left" and "right" in the secondary literature (e.g., Catalinac 2018). For partisanship we used party and for gender we used sex.
BCS 1992	g39	1-7	This is a survey of candidates running for parliament in the United Kingdom ahead of the 1992 general election. Since we do not have data on whether respondents were elected, we use g2 to drop all non-incumbents (keeping those coded as 1), giving us a sample of legislators who served the term 1987-1992. We then match these data to any mass samples from this window. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used g1 and for gender we used g56.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Brazilian Legislator Surveys	lrclass	1-10	This merge file includes all waves up through 2014. We hand-coded legislative sessions according to each wave, yearcase. The anchors for the left-right question are "esquerda" (left) and "direita" (right). For partisanship we used party_elected. No gender data were available.
BRS 1997	q23a	0-10	This is a survey of candidates running for parliament in the United Kingdom ahead of the 1997 general election. Since we do not have data on whether respondents were elected, we use mp_92 to drop all non-incumbents (keeping those coded as 1), giving us a sample of legislators who served the term 1992-1997. We then match these data to any mass samples from this window. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used q1 and for gender we used q38b.
BRS 2001	q28a	0-10	This is a survey of candidates running for parliament in the United Kingdom ahead of the 2001 general election. Since we do not have data on whether respondents were elected, we use $q2a1$ to drop all non-incumbents (keeping those coded as 1), giving us a sample of legislators who served the term 1997-2001. We then match these data to any mass samples from this window. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used $q1$ and for gender we used $q45$ .

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
CIRCaP 2006	v54	1-7	This is the 2006 wave of the European Elites Survey. Since subjects are "Members of the European Parliament and top Commission officials," we cannot hand-code legislative terms. Thus we only match mass samples from 2006 to these responses. The anchors for the left-right question are "extreme left" and "extreme right." For partisanship we used $v76-v83$ (each partisanship variable is country-specific), and for gender we used $v56$ .
CIRCaP 2007	v66	1-7	This is the 2007 wave of the European Elites Survey. Since subjects are "Members of the European Parliament and top Commission officials," we cannot hand-code legislative terms. Thus we only match mass samples from 2007 to these responses. The anchors for the left-right question are "extreme left" and "extreme right." For partisanship we used $v98-v106$ (each partisanship variable is country-specific), and for gender we used $v5$ .
Comparative Candidates Survey	c3	0-10	This merge file includes all waves up through 2016. We used variable $\pm 8$ to trim the sample to candidates who were elected, dropping all 0 and NA values. We used $\pm 3$ for the legislative session begin date, and hand-coded legislative end dates using secondary and tertiary sources. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used a1 and for gender we used e1.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
EPRG MEP Surveys	q3_1_1	1-10	This merge file contains the 2000, 2006, 2010, and 2015 waves of surveys conducted by the European Parliament Research Group. We hand-coded legislative sessions and matched any mass surveys in this window to these responses. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used $q1_3$ and for gender we used $q1_7$ .
Flash Eurobarometer 1996	q12	1-10	This is a one-off survey of top European decision-makers. We used variable group to drop all respondents who were not coded as political. This is the finest group-level data available, though the documentation explains that this group includes heads of state and government, parliamentarians, elected officials at local, regional, intermediate, and national assemblies, political party elites, and European Deputies. We cannot rule out bias that may arise from including respondents who are unelected (or elected at sub-national levels), though anecdotal evidence suggests both that (1) most respondents are elected to the national assembly and (2) this bias is minimal. Note also that these data do not appear in any of the main results. Legislative term information is not available, so we matched these responses to mass data only from 1996. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." No partisanship data were available. For gender we used sex.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
FNEPS	ideology67	1-95	This is the elite sample from the French National Election Panel Study, 1967-1969 (Converse et al. 2005). We used won67 to drop all candidates who were not elected (keeping those coded as 1 and 3). Since another election was called in 1968, we match these responses to mass samples only from 1967. The anchors for the left-right question are "extreme left" and "extreme right." For partisanship we used $v579$ and for gender we used $v589$ .
Hungarian Election Study	k34	0-10	This is the 2010 wave of the Hungarian Election Study (earlier waves could not be located). Since the survey was conducted after the 2010 parliamentary election, all respondents serve the same 2010-2014 term, and so these responses were matched to any mass sample in that window. The anchors for the left-right question are "left-wing" and "right-wing." For partisanship we used k1. No gender data were available.
Joignant et al. (2017)	P67	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "más de izquierda" (more to the left) and "más de derecha" (more to the right). This is the elite sample, paired with a mass survey conducted for the same volume. Using cargo, we dropped all elites who did not name their position as deputy, senator, or legislator. Although we have no data on terms served, all legislators surveyed in 2014 served during at least 2013-2015, so we matched these data to mass samples from this window. No partisanship data were available. For gender we used sexo. See Lupu and Warner (2017) for further discussion of these data.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PARENEL 2008	V_G21	0-10	We hand-coded the legislative session begin and end dates as 2005-2009 and matched these responses to any mass sample in this period. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used $v_A4_1a$ and for gender we used $v_R54$ .
PARENEL 2012	V_G25	0-10	We hand-coded the legislative session begin and end dates as 2009-2015 and matched these responses to any mass sample in this period. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used $v\_CCS\_A4\_1$ and for gender we used $v\_R63$ .
PARTIREP	V037_1	0-10	This merge file includes all waves up through 2015. We used the variables Start_term and End_term to match responses to mass data that falls into each individual legislator's term. We use Parliament to drop respondents who serve in sub-national assemblies, by dropping all except those coded as 00. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For partisanship we used Party and for gender we used Sex.
PELA study 01	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1997-2000 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 02	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1994-1997 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 03	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Chile. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1997-2001 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 04	P67	0-10	This survey was administered in Chile. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1993-1997 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used PARTIDO and for gender we used P71.
PELA study 05	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Argentina. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1997-2001 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 06	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Argentina. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1995-1997 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 07	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in El Salvador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1997-2000 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 13	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Colombia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1998-2002 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 30	P67	0-10	This survey was administered in Dominican Republic. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1994-1998 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used PARTIDO and for gender we used P71.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 31	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Peru. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2001-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 32	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Peru. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1995-2000 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 34	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Uruguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1995-2000 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 35	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Venezuela. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2000-2005 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 36	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Venezuela. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1993-1998 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 37	p67	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2000-2003 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p71.
PELA study 38	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Guatemala. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2000-2004 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 39	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Nicaragua. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 40	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Honduras. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 41	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Panama. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 1999-2004 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 42	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Chile. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 43	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Costa Rica. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 44	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Dominican Republic. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 45	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Ecuador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 46	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Colombia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 47	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Bolivia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2002-2005 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 48	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in El Salvador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2003-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 49	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Paraguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2003-2008 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 50	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2003-2006 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 51	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Argentina. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2003-2007 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 52	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Guatemala. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2004-2008 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 53	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Panama. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2004-2009 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 54	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Uruguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2005-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.
PELA study 55	p58	0-10	This survey was administered in Brazil. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2003-2007 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p62.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 56	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Costa Rica. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 57	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Honduras. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 58	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in El Salvador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2009 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 59	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Colombia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 60	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Chile. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 61	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Peru. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 62	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Bolivia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2009 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 63	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2009 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 64	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Dominican Republic. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 65	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Ecuador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2007-2008 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 66	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Nicaragua. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2007-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 67	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Argentina. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2007-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 68	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Guatemala. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2008-2012 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 69	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Paraguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2008-2013 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 70	idl	0-10	This survey was administered in El Salvador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2009-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used socd4.
PELA study 71	idl	0-10	This survey was administered in Panama. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2009-2013 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used socd4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 72	p64	0-10	This survey was administered in Ecuador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2009-2012 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used p67.
PELA study 73	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Argentina. Respondents in this sample included representatives elected for both the 2007-2011 and 2009-2013 legislative terms, so we matched responses to mass data that falls into each individual legislator's term. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 74	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Honduras. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2014 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 75	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Brazil. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2007-2010 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used Partido and for gender we used SOCD4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 76	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Uruguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2015 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 77	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Chile. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2014 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 78	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Costa Rica. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2014 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 79	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Mexico. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2009-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 80	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Peru. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2006-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 81	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Bolivia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2014 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 82	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Dominican Republic. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2016 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 83	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Colombia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2010-2014 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 84	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Peru. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2011-2011 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 85	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Guatemala. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2012-2016 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used PP and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 86	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Nicaragua. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2012-2017 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 88	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in El Salvador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2012-2015 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 90	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Ecuador. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2013-2017 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used Partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 91	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Paraguay. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2013-2018 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 92	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Honduras. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2014-2018 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 93	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Costa Rica. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2014-2018 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
PELA study 94	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Panama. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2014-2019 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
PELA study 95	ID1	0-10	This survey was administered in Colombia. Responses were matched to mass data from any year in the 2014-2018 legislative session. The anchors for the left-right question are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For partisanship we used partido and for gender we used SOCD4.
SNES	CC10	0-10	This is the elite sample from Holmberg and Oscarsson (2017). The anchors for the left-right question are "långt till vänster" (far to the left) and "långt till höger" (far to the right). For partisanship we used Partybloc and for gender we used ZG10.
Swiss CLRC	v374	1-21	This is the elite sample from the "Role of European Parliaments in Managing Social Conflict" undertaken by the Comparative Legislative Research Center at the University of Iowa in 1974. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." Legislative term information were available for 1971-1975 and 1975-1979. For partisanship we used $v2$ and for gender we used $v353$ .

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Wilson and Birch (1999)	p32	1-5	This is the elite sample from the "Project on the Quality of Democracy in Ukraine in and after the March 1998 Ukrainian Elections." All legislators were elected to a 1998-2002 term so we match these responses to mass data from any of those years. The anchors for the left-right question are "leftist" and "(right wing) Ukrainian-nationalist." No partisanship or gender data were available.

# A.6 Mass survey data sources

Below is a list of mass surveys used to calculate congruence. Our criteria for inclusion are:

- 1. Respondents are voting-age citizens.
- 2. The population from which the sample was drawn is not restricted to a specific state, region, or other sub-national unit.
- 3. The survey contains a question which asks respondents to place themselves on a left-right scale.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
CSES wave 1	A3031	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used A2012 for income and A2008 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of A2023, A2024, and A2025 and used A2003 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
CSES wave 2	B3045	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used B2020 for income and B2011 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of B3047_1, B3047_2, and B3047_3 and used B2003 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
CSES wave 3	C3013	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used C2020 for income and C2011 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of C3036_1, C3036_2, and C3036_3 and used C2003 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

 Table A11: Mass survey data

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
CSES wave 4	D3014	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used D2020 for income and D2011 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of D3025_1_A, D3025_2_A, D3025_3_A, and D3025_4_A and used D2003 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer (1970-2002 merge)	LRS	1-10	This trend file contains all Eurobarometer surveys up through EB58.0 in 2002. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used INCOME for income and OCCUP for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used EDUC for education.
Eurobarometer 58.0	V695	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V728$ for income and $V440$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V699$ for education.
Eurobarometer 58.1	V413	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $\lor447$ for income and $\lor423$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index from $\lor296-\lor300$ and used $\lor417$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

#### Table A11 (continued): Mass survey data

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 58.2	V349	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V383$ for income and $V359$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V353$ for education.
Eurobarometer 59.0	V496	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V517$ for income and $V514$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V501$ for education.
Eurobarometer 59.1	V501	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V529$ for income and $V452$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V505$ for education.
Eurobarometer 59.2	V486	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V520$ for income and $V496$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V490$ for education.
Eurobarometer 60.0	V515	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V549$ for income and $V525$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V519$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 60.1	V591	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V625$ for income and $V601$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V595$ for education.
Eurobarometer 60.2	V494	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V528$ for income and $V504$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V498$ for education.
Eurobarometer 60.3	V324	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V352$ for income and $V37$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V327$ for education.
Eurobarometer 61.0	V307	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V358$ for income and $V334$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge, we constructed a factored index of variables $V151-V160$ and used $V328$ for education, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 62.0	V422	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V432$ for occupation. For political knowledge, we constructed a factored index of variables $V211-V216$ and used $V426$ for education, according to availability by country-year.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 62.1	V579	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V61$ for occupation. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V96-V101$ and used $V583$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 62.2	V464	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V64$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V468$ for education.
Eurobarometer 63.1	V664	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V674$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V668$ for education.
Eurobarometer 63.2	V361	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V371$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V365$ for education.
Eurobarometer 63.3	V330	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V36$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V334$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 63.4	V404	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V431, V432, V433, V434, V435, V436, V437, and V438 for material wealth and V414 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables V173-V176 and V327-V332, and used V408 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 63.5	V118	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V128$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V122$ for education.
Eurobarometer 64.1	V529	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V58$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V533$ for education.
Eurobarometer 64.2	V433	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V71$ for occupation. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V221-V224$ and used $V437$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 64.3	V1053	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V1062$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V1057$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 64.4	V1918	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V1928$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V1922$ for education.
Eurobarometer 65.1	V627	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V649, V650, V651, V652, V653, V654, V655$ , and $V656$ for material wealth and $V633$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V204$ - $V206$ and used $V631$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 65.2	V3304	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V3332, V3333, V3334, V3335, V3336, V3337, V3338$ , and $V3339$ for material wealth and $V3314$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used constructed a factored index of variables $V3023-V3025$ and used $V3308$ for education, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 65.3	V652	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V674$ , $V675$ , $V676$ , $V677$ , $V678$ , $V679$ , $V680$ , and $V681$ for material wealth and $V343$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V656$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 65.4	V346	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V356$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V350$ for education.
Eurobarometer 66.1	V456	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V481$ , $V482$ , $V483$ , $V484$ , $V485$ , $V486$ , $V487$ , and $V488$ for material wealth and $V466$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used constructed a factored index of variables $V143$ - $V145$ and $used V460$ for education, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 66.2	V326	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V346, V347, V348, V349, V350, V351, V352$ , and $V353$ for material wealth and $V127$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V330$ for education.
Eurobarometer 66.3	V2007	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V2029, V2030, V2031, V2032, V2033, V2034, V2035, and V2036 for material wealth and V97 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used V2010 for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 67.1	V720	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V745$ , $V746$ , $V747$ , $V748$ , $V749$ , $V750$ , $V751$ , and $V752$ for material wealth and $V730$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V724$ for education.
Eurobarometer 67.2	V542	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V569, V570, V571, V572, V573, V574, V575$ , and $V576$ for material wealth and $V552$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V165$ - $V168$ and used $V546$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 67.3	V577	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V600, V601, V602, V603, V604, V605, V606$ , and $V607$ for material wealth and $V77$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V581$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 68.1	V414	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V441, V442, V443, V444, V445, V446, V447, and V448 for material wealth and V424 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables V206-V209 and 290-292, and used V418 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 68.2	V612	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V635$ , $V636$ , $V637$ , $V638$ , $V639$ , $V640$ , $V641$ , and $V642$ for material wealth and $V622$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V616$ for education.
Eurobarometer 69.1	V383	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V430$ , $V431$ , $V432$ , $V433$ , $V434$ , $V435$ , $V436$ , and $V437$ for material wealth and $V393$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V387$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 69.2	V761	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V788, V789, V790, V791, V792, V793, V794, and V795 for material wealth and V771 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables V359-V362 and used V765 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 70.1	V664	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V689, V690, V691, V692, V693, V694, V695$ , and $V696$ for material wealth and $V477$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V284-V287$ and used $V668$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 71.1	V638	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V665, V666, V667, V668, V669, V670, V671, and V672 for material wealth and V648 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables V368-V371 and used V642 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 71.2	V421	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V444$ , $V445$ , $V446$ , $V447$ , $V448$ , $V449$ , $V450$ , and $V451$ for material wealth and $V136$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V425$ for education.
Eurobarometer 71.3	D1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $D46_1, D46_2, D46_3, D46_4, D46_5, D46_6, D46_7$ , and $D46_8$ for material wealth and D15A for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used VD8 for education.
Eurobarometer 72.4	V577	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V588$ for occupation. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V266-V270$ and used $V582$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 73.1	V382	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V440, V441, V442, V443, V444, V445, V446$ , and $V447$ for material wealth and $V427$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V92-V93$ and used $V421$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 73.4	V548	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V559$ for occupation. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $V311-V314$ and used $V553$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 74.1	V498	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V519, V520, V521, V522, V523, V524, V525$ , and $V526$ for material wealth and $V70$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V504$ for education.
Eurobarometer 74.2	V594	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used $V606$ for occupation. For political knowledge we used $V600$ for education.
Eurobarometer 74.3	V488	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V511, V512, V513, V514, V515, V516, V517$ , and $V518$ for material wealth and $V94$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V494$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 75.1	V514	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V163, V164, V165, V166, V167, V168, V169, and V170 for material wealth and V525 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used V520 for education.
Eurobarometer 75.1EP	V154	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables V181, V182, V183, V184, V185, V186, V187, and V188 for material wealth and V165 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used V160 for education.
Eurobarometer 75.2	V593	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V358, V359, V360, V361, V362, V363, V364$ , and $V365$ for material wealth and $V605$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V599$ for education.
Eurobarometer 75.3	V607	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $V635$ , $V636$ , $V637$ , $V638$ , $V639$ , $V640$ , $V641$ , and $V642$ for material wealth and $V619$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $V613$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 76.1	D1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $D46_1, D46_2, D46_3, D46_4, D46_5, D46_6, D46_7$ , and $D46_8$ for material wealth and D15A for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used VD8 for education.
Eurobarometer 77.2	D1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $D46_1, D46_2, D46_3, D46_4, D46_5, D46_6, D46_7$ , and $D46_8$ for material wealth and D15A for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used VD8 for education.
Eurobarometer 77.4	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7$ , and $d46_8$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $qp5_1-qp5_4$ and used vd8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 79.5	D1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables D46_1, D46_2, D46_3, D46_4, D46_5, D46_6, D46_7, and D46_8 for material wealth and D15A for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables QP7_1-QP7_4 and used D8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 81.2	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used d15a for occupation. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.
Eurobarometer 81.4	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1$ , $d46_2$ , $d46_3$ , $d46_4$ , $d46_5$ , $d46_6$ , $d46_7$ , $d46_8$ , $d46_9$ , $d46_{10}$ , and $d46_{11}$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $qa16_{1-}qa16_3$ and used d8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 82.1	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1$ , $d46_2$ , $d46_3$ , $d46_4$ , $d46_5$ , $d46_6$ , $d46_7$ , $d46_8$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used $d8$ for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 82.2	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_10, and d46_11 for material wealth and d15a for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.
Eurobarometer 82.3	dl	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_10, and d46_11 for material wealth and d15a for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables d46_5-d46_7 and used d8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 82.4	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used d15a for occupation. For political knowledge we used constructed a factored index of variables $qp4_1-qp4_4$ and used d8 for education, according to availability by country-year.

 Table A11 (continued): Mass survey data

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 83.1	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_10, and d46_11 for material wealth and d15a for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.
Eurobarometer 83.2	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used d15a for occupation. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.
Eurobarometer 83.3	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_{10}$ , and $d46_{11}$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $qa17_{1}-qa17_{3}$ and used d8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 83.4	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_{10}$ , and $d46_{11}$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 84.1	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_10, and d46_11 for material wealth and d15a for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables qp11_1-qp11_4 and used d8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 84.2	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1$ , $d46_2$ , $d46_3$ , $d46_4$ , $d46_5$ , $d46_6$ , $d46_7$ , $d46_8$ , $d46_9$ , $d46_{10}$ , and $d46_{11}$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $qb2_1-qb2_4$ and used d8 for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
Eurobarometer 84.3	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables $d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_{10}$ , and $d46_{11}$ for material wealth and $d15a$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index of variables $qa14_{1}$ - $qa14_{3}$ and used $d8$ for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Eurobarometer 84.4	d1	1-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our affluence variable we used a factored index constructed from variables d46_1, d46_2, d46_3, d46_4, d46_5, d46_6, d46_7, d46_8, d46_9, d46_10, and d46_11 for material wealth and d15a for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used d8 for education.
FNEPS	v79	1-95	The anchors for the left-right question are "extreme left" and "extreme right." This is the mass sample from the French National Election Panel Study, 1967-1969 (Converse et al. 2005). For our measure of affluence, we used $v333$ for occupation and $v378$ for income, in that order. For political knowledge we used $v363$ for education.
JGSS	OP5RADCA <b>1-5</b>		This is the Japanese General Social Survey and includes all waves 2000-2010. The anchors for the left-right question are "conservative" and "progressive." (We flipped the axes so that "progressive" aligns with "left" and "conservative" aligns with "right.") For our measure of affluence, we used SZINCOMX for income and XXJOB for occupation, in that order, according to availability within a year/wave. For political knowledge we used XXLSTSCH for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Joignant et al. (2017)	P41	0-10	The anchors for the left-right question are "más de izquierda" (more to the left) and "más de derecha" (more to the right). This is the mass sample, paired with an elite survey conducted for the same volume. For our measure of affluence, we used P85 for income. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index using P44_1B, P44_2B, P44_3B, and P44_4B, and used P65 for education, in that order. See Lupu and Warner (2017) for further discussion of these data.
LAPOP	11	1-10	This merge file contains all LAPOP waves through 2014. The left-right question anchors are "izquierda" (left) and "derecha" (right). For our measure of affluence, we used a material wealth index created by factoring as many of the variables r1, r2, r3, r4, r4a, r4b, r5, r6, r7, r8, r9, r11, r12, r13, r14, r15, r16, r16a, r17, r18, r19, r20, r21, r22, r23, r24, r25, and r26 as were available in that country-year. Where none were available, we used q10 for income and ocup1 for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we constructed a factored index from gi1, gi2, gi3, gi4, gi5, gi7r, and gix4, and used ed for education, in that order, according to availability by country-year.
SNES	CC10	0-10	This is the mass sample from Holmberg and Oscarsson (2017). The anchors for the left-right question are "långt till vänster" (far to the left) and "långt till höger" (far to the right). For our measure of affluence we used occupation for occupation. For political knowledge we used education for education.

Survey	Left-right variable	Left-right scale	Notes
Swiss CLRC	lr1	0-10	This is the mass sample from the "Role of European Parliaments in Managing Social Conflict" undertaken by the Comparative Legislative Research Center at the University of Iowa in 1974. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our measure of affluence we used income for income and sch7 for occupation, in that order. For political knowledge we used educ for education.
Wilson and Birch (1999)	p173	1-5	This is the mass sample from the "Project on the Quality of Democracy in Ukraine in and after the March 1998 Ukrainian Elections." The anchors for the left-right question are "leftist" and "Ukrainian nationalist." For our measure of affluence we used p202 for income and p207 for occupation, in that order. For political knowledge we used p193 for education.
World Values Survey	E033	1-10	This merge file contains all WVS waves through 2014. The anchors for the left-right question are "left" and "right." For our measure of affluence, we used $X047$ for income and $X036$ for occupation, in that order, according to availability by country-year. For political knowledge we used or $X025$ for education.

# A.7 Survey data access information

Survey	Notes
ATES	Freely available at http: //www.masaki.j.u-tokyo.ac.jp/utas/utasp.html. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. Japanese only.
BCS 1992	Freely available at https://sites.google.com/site/ pippanorris3/research/data. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
Brazilian Legislator Surveys	Freely available at https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/bls. Version 1.0, dated 1-AUG-2014. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
BRS 1997	Freely available at https://sites.google.com/site/ pippanorris3/research/data. No version information available but file dated 1-MAY-1997. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
BRS 2001	Freely available at https://sites.google.com/site/ pippanorris3/research/data. No version information available but file dated 9-MAR-2004. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
CIRCaP 2006	Available via the Roper Center (https://ropercenter.cornell.edu) by paid subscription only. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
CIRCaP 2007	Available via the Roper Center (https://ropercenter.cornell.edu) by paid subscription only. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
Comparative Candidates Survey	Available via FORS (https://forsbase.unil.ch) for free after registration (ref. 11249). Wave 1, Version 4, dated 2016. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.
CSES	Available at http://www.cses.org for free after registration. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.

#### Table A12: Access information

Survey	Notes		
EPRG MEP Surveys	Available at https://mepsurvey.eu/data-objects/data/ for free after registration. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
Eurobarometer	Available via ICPSR (https://www.icpsr.umich.edu) by paid subscription only. The Mannheim merge file is study number 4357. The other waves up through 83.4 are available as part of the Eurobarometer series (ref: 00026). Waves 84.1-84.4 are available via GESIS for free after registration (studies ZA6596, ZA6642, ZA6643, and ZA6644). Mannheim merge version 2.0.0, dated 20-JAN-2005; other version information is available on request. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
Flash Eurobarometer 1996	Available via GESIS (https://dbk.gesis.org/dbksearch/) for free after registration (study ZA2896). Version 1.0.0, dated 13-APR-2010. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
FNEPS	Available via ICPSR (https://www.icpsr.umich.edu) by paid subscription only (study 2978). Version 1. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
Hungarian Election Study	Freely available at http://old.tarki.hu/en/index.html after registration (study TDATA-H46). No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
JGSS	Available via ICPSR (https://www.icpsr.umich.edu) by paid subscription only (series 209). We used the Cumulative 2000-2003 data file (study 4472) and each wave from 2005 onwards (studies 4703, 25181, 30661, and 34623). All files version 1 except for 2008 and 2010, which are version 3. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019.		
Joignant et al. (2017)	Made available to authors by the editors. No version information available.		
LAPOP	Available via http://www.vanderbilt.edu/lapop/, partial access for free. We used the paid subscription version of the 2004-2014 merge file. Version 3.0, dated 31-OCT-2015. Last accesse 3-FEB-2019.		

#### Table A12 (continued): Access information

#### Notes Survey PARENEL Freely available at http://er.cies.iscte-iul.pt/node/34. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. PARTIREP Available via PARTIREP (http://www.partirep.eu) for free after registration. No version information available but dated JAN-2015. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. (Website now expired.) PELA Available at http: //americo.usal.es/oir/elites/bases\_de\_datos.htm for free after registration. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. Spanish only. **SNES** Available via the Swedish National Data Service at https://snd.gu.se/en for free after registration. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. Swiss CLRC Available via ICPSR (https://www.icpsr.umich.edu) by paid subscription only. No version information available. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. Wilson and Birch Available via the UK Data Archive (1999)(http://data-archive.ac.uk) by paid subscription only (study 4079). No version information available, but file last updated 4-JAN-2012. Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. World Values Freely available at http://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/. First release (18-APR-2015). Last accessed 3-FEB-2019. Survey

#### Table A12 (continued): Access information

### References

- Catalinac, Amy. 2018. "Positioning Under Alternative Electoral Systems: Evidence from Japanese Candidate Election Manifestos." 112 (1): 31–48.
- Converse, Philip E., Georges Dupeux, and Roy Pierce. 2005. "French National Election Panel Study, 1967-1969." Computer file. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan. Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (CPSR), producer and distributor. https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR02978.v1.

Holmberg, Sören, and Henrik Oscarsson. 2017. "Swedish Election Study.".

- Joignant, Alfredo, Claudio Fuentes, and Mauricio Morales, eds. 2017. *Malaise in Representation in Latin American Countries*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lupu, Noam, and Zach Warner. 2017. "Mass-Elite Congruence and Representation in Argentina." In Malaise in Representation in Latin American Countries: Chile, Argentina, Uruguay, ed. Alfredo Joignant, Mauricio Morales, and Claudio Fuentes. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Wilson, Andrew, and Sarah Birch. 1999. "Voting Stability, Political Gridlock: Ukraine's 1998 Parliamentary Elections." *Europe-Asia Studies* 51 (6): 1039-1068.